UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/512,141	10/22/2004	Mitsuo Yasaka	042887	2338
	7590 03/22/200 I, HATTORI, DANIEL	EXAMINER		
1250 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, NW SUITE 700 WASHINGTON, DC 20036			KACKAR, RAM N	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1763	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS 03/22/2007		03/22/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/512,141	YASAKA, MITSUO			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Ram N. Kackar	1763			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)[\text{\tint{\text{\ti}\}\\ \text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\texi{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\tin}\text{\texi}}\\ \tett{\text{\text{\text{\texi}\tint{\text{\texi}\text{\text{\texi}\tint{\text{\texi}\text{\texi}\text{\texitit}}\\tittt{\text{\texi}}}}\tinttilex{\text{\texitt{\texit{\texi{\texi}\text{\texi	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 C	october 2004				
		s action is non-final.				
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
٠,۵	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
	•	in paris quayro, 1000 0.51 11,				
Dispositi	on of Claims					
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
. 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are rejected.						
7) 🔲 .	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Applicati	on Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
- /-	1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
coo the attached actailed office action for a list of the certified copies flot received.						
Attachment	• •					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summar				
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail E 5) Notice of Informal				
Paper						

Application/Control Number: 10/512,141

Art Unit: 1763

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claim 1, 3 and 5-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Loewenhardt et al (US 5942889).

Loewenhardt et al disclose a detection port type probe, comprising an electro conductive support (metal chamber 104 and Col 1 lines 15-20) having a view port on an opening (106), a dielectric (quartz window) member facing plasma (Col 2 lines 36-46), having a probe (102) electrode on the other side (114) of the window and a voltage waveform measuring unit (116) to measure plasma voltage fluctuations (Col 1 line 59-61).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Loewenhardt et al (US 5942889) in view of Turner et al (US 5576629).

Regarding claim 2 the impedance matching unit as disclosed in the specification is an amplifier (generally high input impedance device). Use of amplifiers to measure signal voltages

Art Unit: 1763

is however well known in the art. The voltage-measuring instrument 116 would obviously be a high input impedance device.

Turner et al disclose electrical sensors being used with amplifiers to amplify signals in order to use for control and monitoring (Fig 12 and 14).

It would therefore be obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to have used amplifier for detection accuracy.

5. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Loewenhardt et al (US 5942889) in view of Biricik et al (US 5173443).

Loewenhardt et al do not disclose the probe electrode to be made of transparent conductive material. It is however noted that since the electrode is attached to a view port it would be advantageous for it to be transparent.

Biricik et al teach the use of transparent conductive windows for allowing viewing as well as shielding from electromagnetic radiation (Col 1 lines 30-45).

It would therefore be obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to use the probe made of transparent material in order to shield electromagnetic radiation and allow the use of window for its normal viewing purpose.

6. Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Loewenhardt et al (US 5942889) in view of Saito et al (US 6562186).

Loewenhardt et al do not disclose a flange to support the quartz window. This however would be obvious to have a flange to attach the window to the chamber as disclosed by Saito et al (Fig 2 -31).

Application/Control Number: 10/512,141

Art Unit: 1763

Page 4

Therefore it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of

invention to use a flange to attach the window to the metal chamber.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Ram N. Kackar whose telephone number is 571 272 1436. The

examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00 A.M to 5:P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Parviz Hassanzadeh can be reached on 571 272 1435. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Kan-<u>u</u>

Ram Kackar

Primary Examiner AU 1763